

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/457,466	12/08/1999	BRAD HANDLER	003801.P004	7716
75	90 10/27/2003	EXAMINER		
	KOLOFF TAYLOR &	ELISCA, PIERRE E		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			3621	

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/457,466

Applicant(s)

Brad, Handler

Examiner

Pierre E. Elisca

Art Unit **3621**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	er sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	E <u>THREE</u> MONTH(S) FROM			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, hower mailing date of this communication. 	ever, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory mir				
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire S Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to 				
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communical earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	tion, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle				
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>3-6</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🗷 Claim(s) <u>3-6</u>	is/are rejected.			
7)	is/are objected to.			
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) b	pe held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Offic	ce action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	ceived.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been red	ceived in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a)).			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority ur				
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application				
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interv	ious Summans (DTO 413) Panas Na(a)			
	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) [Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s). [Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s). [Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s).				

Application/Control Number: 09/457,466 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

- 1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment, filed on 01/30/2003.
- 2. Regarding the status of the claims in the instant application, the Examiner has found new prior art. The Examiner is obliged to apply the newly found prior art. Thus, the finality of the prior Office action has been withdrawn and a new rejection follows. The Examiner regrets the delayed process of the application. Accordingly, claims 3-6 remain pending in the application.
- 3. Claims 3-6 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Fisher et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,243,691) and Cooper ("Going going gone, Tradition gives way to

Application/Control Number: 09/457,466

Art Unit: 3621

technology, British Telecom World, March 1990) in view of Biais, Bruno et al. An empirical

analysis of the limit order book and the order flow in the Paris Bourse December 1995.

As per claims 3-6, Fisher substantially discloses a system/method for conducting a multi-

Page 3

person (or in-person), interactive auction, the method comprising the steps of:

updating bidding information associated with an item in an online environment to reflect a current bid

associated with the item, in person auction (see., col 8, lines 39-55, specifically wherein it is stated

that updating the bid list for open items. The bid manager begins by checking if there are more

merchandise items to be processed. If such items are found, the bid manager selects a merchandise

item to process and queries the bid database for bids for this items);

accepting a bid from an online bidder in the online environment reflecting the online bidder's

maximum proxy price (see., col 8, lines 61-67, specifically wherein it is stated that the auction

manager will increase the bid as necessary up to the limit amount. The feature allows the customer

to get the lowest possible price without exceeded a limit preferably. Applicant should duly note that

a proxy bid or maximum proxy bid is a special bid type that allows auction manager to automatically

bid on the bidder's behalf up to a limited amount established by the bidder when his or her initial bid

is placed); and

bidding on behalf of the online bidder against one or more bidders that are participating in the in-

person auction based upon the maximum proxy price (see., col 8, lines 56-67, col 9, lines 1-6,

specifically wherein it is stated that a proxy bid is a special bid type that allows auction manager to

Art Unit: 3621

automatically bid on the bidder's behalf up to a limited amount established by the bidder when his or her initial bid is placed).

It is to be noted that Fisher does not explicitly disclose that his auction is a live auction. However, Cooper discloses bidders from remote bid against participant in a live auction (see., page 1, paragraph 9-10). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the online bidding auction of Fisher by including the live auction taught by Cooper because such modification would provide the online bidding auction of Fisher with the enhanced necessary to have the benefit of broadening audience and cost saving.

Neither Fisher nor Cooper disclose in person auction, establishing a starting bid for an item in an online environment by performing a pre-auction bidding process in the online environment for a predetermined amount of time.

Biais Bruno discloses a daily call auction to a computerized limit order market in which trading occurs continuously from 10 AM to 5 PM. The opening price at 10 AM is determined by a call auction. Prior to this call auction, a sequence of tentative call auctions occurs before the opening, in order to facilitate the price discovery process (see., Biais Bruno, page 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Fisher and Cooper by including the limitations detailed above as taught by Biais Bruno because this would provide the online bidding auction of Fisher and Cooper with the enhanced necessary to analyze the supply and demand of liquidity of the limit order market.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/457,466

Art Unit: 3621

CONCLUSION

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 6:30AM to 5:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents of Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

The Official Fax Number For TC-3600 is:

(703) 305-7687

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Patent Examiner

September 23, 2003